Rules for the Governing Board Appendix E Adopted 2021-10-15 Replaces version 2020-12-11 Dnr zA21.0090.008

Translation Swedish original has priority.



# POLICY REGARDING POLICY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

A conflict of interest (COI) is a situation that gives reason to doubt a person's impartiality. A COI policy is intended to guarantee objectivity and impartiality in selection, presentation and decision procedures and to instil confidence in all parties regarding such objectivity.

Provisions governing COI for public authorities are set forth in Förvaltningslagen (Administrative Procedure Act), SFS 1986:223. SSF voluntarily applies the provisions in a similar manner as state research-funding bodies. SSF's Governing Board can authorize exceptions from this policy if extraordinary reasons exist. A prime example of such extraordinary reasons is the need for specialist expertise for the handling of a matter. A record shall be kept of all such decisions, in the same way as disclosure of COI.

According to Section 11 of the Administrative Procedure Act, the COI policy is aimed at "persons charged with handling a matter". Such persons include those in charge of selection and presentation as well as those who make decisions, whether they are members of a board, a selection group or other administrators or officials, in other words anyone who can influence the outcome of a matter.

# 1 Different types of conflicts of interest

Conflicts of interest involving private interests, personal involvement or family ties disqualify the person charged with handling a matter

- if the matter concerns the person himself or his spouse, parent, child, sibling or other closely related person
- if the person or someone closely related to him can expect extraordinary advantage or detriment from the outcome of the matter.

# Conflicts of interest involving legal representation disqualify the person charged with handling a matter

- if the person or someone closely related to him is the legal representative of someone that the matter concerns
- if the person or someone closely related to him is the legal representative of someone who can expect extraordinary advantage or detriment from the outcome of the matter.

**Conflicts of interest involving organizational roles** can arise in connection with oversight matters. A possible example in the Foundation would be if the chairman of a Foundation programme were to be appointed as a member of the Governing Board of the Foundation and the Board were to decide on a matter of importance for the programme in question.

Rules for the Governing Board Appendix E Adopted 2021-10-15 Replaces version 2020-12-11 Dnr zA21.0090.008

Translation Swedish original has priority.

# Conflicts of interest involving proxies or agents disqualify the person charged with handling a matter

• if the person has served someone as a proxy or has assisted that person for payment as regards the material issue.

# Institutional conflicts of interest disqualify the person charged with handling a matter

if the person is a vice-chancellor, faculty dean, department head or member of a university or college board, in cases where the institute of higher learning receives, or may receive, grants from the Foundation. This may also apply to the Executive Director or the like and a board member at a research institute.

# Conflicts of interest involving sensitive situations disqualify the person charged with handling a matter

• if there is some other special circumstance that is likely to undermine confidence in his impartiality in the matter that is not covered by the aforementioned COI categories.

Examples of conflicts of interest involving special circumstances are:

- close collaboration in a professional context
- obvious friendship or enmity
- relationship of economic dependency
- superior/subordinate relationship
- someone involved in the matter in a way that may arouse doubts as to their ability to render an impartial judgement.

# 2 Managing conflicts of interest

It is the obligation of board members and other persons charged with handling a matter to spontaneously furnish information regarding possible grounds for COI. If a possible COI is not reported via the application portal, the disqualified person shall report the COI in good time before a meeting of the board or evaluation committee (or equivalent) to the responsible secretary, who in turn notifies the chairman.

It is up to the chairman of the board or the selection group (or equivalent) to make sure that COI are managed properly, when necessary with the assistance of collaborating officials. If there is any question, the management of SSF should be consulted. The working group decides how to apply the COI policy in each individual case. In doubtful cases the person should be disqualified.

The COI policy shall be described the first time a new group meet or a new member is added. All members (or equivalent) shall declare that they understand and support the policy. The possible existence of a COI shall be noted in the minutes each time the question is brought up, even in cases where the working group concludes that there is no COI. At meetings when minutes are not normally kept, any COI situations shall nevertheless be recorded.

Rules for the Governing Board Appendix E Adopted 2021-10-15 Replaces version 2020-12-11

Dnr zA21.0090.008

Translation Swedish original has priority.

A person disqualified for COI may not be present when an application or the equivalent is discussed or decided on. The rule is that the disqualified person should leave the room while the matter is being dealt with. Depending on the context, a person may be discharged from all or parts of the assessment process in which an application for which the person in question has a COI is being considered.

#### 2.1 External reviewers, evaluators etc.

External experts, both Swedish and international, shall be queried about possible COI situations when applications or mid-term and final reports are ready to be assessed or

reviewed. The reviewer shall describe on SSF's application portal what type of relationship she/he has or has had with the applicants in question. In cases where the portal is not used, the equivalent declaration shall be made in writing in another way.

### 2.2 Practice of the Governing Board

The following shall apply in addition to what is stated in [Stiftelselag (2 Kap. 14 §: "En styrelseledamot får inte handlägga frågor som rör avtal mellan honom och stiftelsen")] and in these rules:

- 2.2.1. When a board meeting is considering decisions on announcement of grants (Call text) and if a member, or a related person, can apply the member may not participate in or attend discussions or decisions. If a member has participated in or attended at presentations, discussions or decisions the member cannot apply for grants in that Call
- 2.2.2. When a board meeting is considering decisions on grantees and if a member, or a related person, has applied for grants the member may not participate in or attend presentations, discussions or decisions.
- 2.2.3. When a board meeting is discussing general strategic questions regarding research areas and modes of support, may all members of the board participate.

#### 3 Outside activities

An employee or contractor may not have employment or assignments or perform other activities that might undermine confidence in her/his or any other employee's impartiality or otherwise harm SSF's reputation.

In accordance with the rules for outside activities established by the Governing Board, board members and secretariat employees must at the end of each year openly disclose any outside positions such as board member, adviser, committee member or any assignment in any other organization whose activities impinge, or may be construed by others to impinge, upon SSF's activities. This information shall be updated continuously.